



Executive Committee Meeting Agenda

May 20, 2019 – 11:00 a.m.

- I. 11:00 A.M. - CALL TO ORDER
- II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- III. PUBLIC COMMENT
- IV. AGENDA
 - A. Executive Committee Minutes for March 18, 2019 – *Approve*
 - B. General Manager Report – *Matt Jordan*
 - C. South Hillsborough Short-Term Water Delivery Needs – *Chuck Carden and Jon Kennedy*
 - D. Water Quality Update – *Ken Herd and Steve Fleischacker*
 - E. Demand Management Plan Implementation Update – *Ken Herd and Dave Bracciano*
 - F. Fiscal Year 2020 Budget/Fiscal Year 2020-2029 Capital Improvement Program – *Christina Sackett*
 - G. Cooperative Funding for the Tampa Augmentation Project – *Don Conn*
- V. OTHER BUSINESS
- VI. ADJOURN

Tampa Bay Water
Executive Committee Meeting
March 18, 2019 Minutes

The Board Executive Committee of Tampa Bay Water met at the administrative offices at 2575 Enterprise Road, Clearwater, Florida 33763, on March 18, 2019, to discuss the items as follows below

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Present: Chair – Commissioner Sandra Murman, Hillsborough County
Vice Chair - Commissioner Dave Eggers, Pinellas County
Council Member Darden Rice, City of St. Petersburg
Mayor Rob Marlowe, City of New Port Richey
Commissioner Kathleen Peters, Pinellas County

Staff: Matt Jordan, General Manager
Chuck Carden, Chief Operating Officer
Ken Herd, Chief Science and Technical Officer
Christina Sackett, Chief Financial Officer
Michelle Stom, Chief Communications Officer
Jon Kennedy, Engineering Senior Manager
Kathleen Goelz, Executive Assistant

**General
Counsel:** Barrie Buenaventura, General Counsel
Don Conn, General Counsel
Peter M. Dunbar, Legislative Counsel

Staff and Consultants presenting to the Board are listed above. Others present at the meeting were:

Mike Carballa, Pasco County
Joe Morrissey, Pinellas County
Jane Wallace, St. Petersburg
Brad Baird, City of Tampa
Chuck Weber, City of Tampa Water Department
Jan McLean, City of Tampa
Ed Helvenston, Hillsborough County
John Palenchar, St. Petersburg
Megan Ross, Pinellas County
Joe Graham, Pinellas County
Joel Brown, SWFWMD
Andre Dieffenthaler, Hazen and Sawyer



Stephanie Ishii, Hazen and Sawyer
Michelle Robinson, Dialogue PR

I. Chairman Sandra Murman called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

II. Public Comment

David Ballard Geddis, Jr.

III. Agenda

The Executive Committee and staff discussed the following:

A. Executive Committee Minutes for January 28, 2019 – *Approve*

Committee Recommendation: Approve the minutes from the January 28, 2019 Executive Committee Meeting. Commissioner Eggers motioned for approval. Council Member Rice seconded the motion.

Vote: The motion carried 3-0.

B. General Manager Report

Matt Jordan, General Manager, presented to the Committee the updates on current project funding requests with the State of Florida and SWFWMD. Mr. Jordan explained the agency is requesting state funding concerning the Reservoir Solar Energy System, Eldridge-Wilde Underground Powerlines and Eldridge-Wilde Wellfield Pumps and Motors. Mr. Jordan stated staff will keep the Board apprised as the funding moves forward. Tampa Bay Water is seeking co-funding from SWFWMD for four Master Water Plan studies (Surface Water Treatment Study, Desalination Expansion Study, Groundwater via SHARP, and Demand Management Program). Mr. Jordan stated that these applications are pending. Staff will be providing a presentation to SWFWMD on the desalination study in April.

C. Proposed Fiscal Year 2020 Budget

Christina Sackett, Chief Financial Officer, provided an overview of the Proposed Fiscal Year 2020 Budget. Ms. Sackett stated the agency is projecting a demand increase in Fiscal Year 2020 of approximately 2.3 million gallons per day (mgd) bringing the demand up to 180.8 mgd. Ms. Sackett explained several renewal and replacement projects will be in the construction phase resulting in a budget increase of \$1.5 million to cover the expenditures. Pay-as-you-go funding will increase to minimize future debt issuance. Ms. Sackett reviewed the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and funding needs. The proposed budget includes

5 new positions as well as a merit rate increase. The budget does not include using the rate stabilization account and maintains the current uniform rate of \$2.5590 per 1,000 gallons. Ms. Sackett reviewed the next steps which include a budget workshop in April and public hearing in June and request for Board approval.

Commissioner Eggers asked about the status of water quality projects and timeframe. Ken Herd, Chief Science and Technical Officer, explained the agency's consultant, Hazen and Sawyer, is currently reviewing data and working with the member governments to develop the information before the financial information and alternatives are known and anticipate bringing this back to the Board in late summer for consideration. Chairman Murman asked how it works with the budget. Mr. Jordan stated no water quality projects are currently included in the CIP. Any water quality projects recommended by the Board would need to be added to the CIP. Chairman Murman asked if projects will be prioritized for areas impacted by water quality. Mr. Jordan stated staff continue to evaluate individual wellfields to determine what can be done to assist those areas of concern. Also, recommendations will come before the Board this summer to determine if new standards need to be made to Exhibit D. Chuck Carden, Chief Operating Officer, added that the Hazen and Sawyer report will assist in evaluating water quality in those areas. Mr. Herd added that discussions will include lowering the Total Organic Carbon (TOC). Mr. Jordan noted that staff will bring a water quality update to the Board in June. Commissioner Eggers asked for the update to include a layout of the program and cost implications.

D. South-Central Hillsborough Memorandum of Understanding

Jon Kennedy, Engineering Senior Manager, provided a status update on the South-Central Hillsborough Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). Mr. Kennedy stated given the current demands it is anticipated that the system serving south Hillsborough County will reach capacity by 2025. Mr. Kennedy explained the three options being considered to expand the system and increase capacity in the South County area (a new pipeline, development of additional supply, or both the pipeline and developing additional supply). Commissioner Eggers noted the pipeline would not increase the supply, it would just redirect the current supply to South County. Mr. Kennedy responded yes. Council Member Rice asked what capital costs Tampa Bay Water pays versus what Hillsborough County pays. Mr. Kennedy explained Tampa Bay Water would pay up to the same amount it would pay for a pipeline from the surface water treatment plant to Lithia. Council Member Rice stated Hillsborough County would pay for the pipeline from Lithia to the Water Campus. Mr. Kennedy responded yes. Also, Tampa Bay Water pays operating cost only on its side of the meter. Commissioner Eggers asked if the Water Campus is a proposed new water plant. Mr. Kennedy explained Hillsborough County is looking at property it currently owns for a water treatment plant and distribution facility as well as waste water collection and treatment facility. If approved by the Board, Tampa Bay Water would run a line to the Water Campus. Mr. Kennedy explained that agency staff have been working with Hillsborough County staff for the last 2-1/2 years to develop a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to address how to meet increasing

demands in South County and reviewed the MOU chronology. Agency staff met with member government staff in February to address any MOU feedback. Next, Mr. Kennedy reviewed the formulas used to determine project costs and benefits of the MOU. Next steps after the MOU approval include coming to the Board in February 2020 with project information and a staff recommendation.

Commissioner Eggers asked do the agency's studies include the interaction of saltwater and reclaimed water in the aquifer and is it part of the permitting. Mr. Kennedy stated the County is obligated to look at aquifer impacts as a result of its injections. Tampa Bay Water is obligated to look at aquifer impact concerning withdrawals. The County seeks permitting from FDEP, Tampa Bay Water seeks permits from SWFWMD. Brad Baird, City of Tampa, explained 10 mgd from the SHARP project was committed by Tampa approximately 5 years ago and is part of Phase 2 (from the Howard Curren Plant). The 7-1/2 mgd would be the withdrawal amount from Phase 1 from the 10 mgd provided by Hillsborough County. Council Member Rice asked for clarification of how the MOU provides guidance for future decisions. Mr. Kennedy stated the MOU puts Hillsborough County and Tampa Bay Water on an action plan to get to a decision point; reinforces the cooperation and partnership of Hillsborough County; sets a cost formula for developing the recharge credits, it recognizes the pipeline allocation formula; and, it puts the project in a more favorable situation to receive co-funding from SWFWMD. Chairman Murman asked if staff will come back to the Board with its recommendation. Mr. Kennedy responded yes. Commissioner Eggers asked if the (previously discussed) Water Quality project is eligible for SWFWMD funding. Ken Herd, Chief Science Officer, responded that it would need to be discussed with the District who would determine whether the Water Quality project would provide regional benefit.

Council Member Rice moved the Executive Committee recommend approval of the Hillsborough MOU as written. Commissioner Eggers seconded the motion.

Note: The motion carried by vote of 3-0.

E. Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding with the City of Tampa Regarding the Tampa Augmentation Project

Peter Dunbar, Special Counsel, introduced Agenda Item E. Mr. Dunbar stated that Tampa Bay Water counsel and member government attorneys met in an effort to make revisions requested by the Board in February to the Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding (DRAFT 3.6.19) brought before the Committee at this meeting. Mr. Dunbar briefly reviewed the minor changes to paragraph 4 which addressed timing and provided some clarifications. The change in paragraph 5 concerned the 10 mgd from the SHARP/SHARE project. Mr. Dunbar noted the change (insert) to paragraph 10 concerning an opt-in requirement prior to June 30, 2020. Paragraph 11 addressed the 20/40 permit and the waiver by the City of Tampa in favor of Tampa Bay Water and Tampa's assurance no reclaimed water would enter the Harney Canal or Tampa Bypass Canal. Paragraph 12 concerned nutrient credits. Paragraph 13 explained how TAP water would be used and allows Tampa to continue to provide water

to Hillsborough County through its 13 existing interconnections with no new customers added without first complying with the Interlocal Agreement (ILA). Paragraph 14 limits requests of cooperative funding for TAP from SWFWMD. Paragraph 15 related to bond indebtedness. Mr. Dunbar noted there was a suggested change by City of Tampa to Paragraph 4 concerning the word “irrevocable” being deleted from the earlier draft of the MOU requiring the City of Tampa to provide a report by December 31, 2022. The request by Tampa is after that report (or consistent with the report), that a sentence be reinserted at the end of Paragraph 4. Mr. Dunbar asked Jan McLean, City of Tampa, to address the Committee on the proposed language. Ms. McLean stated the City of Tampa needs certainty on what its assignment is and paying for. She explained the additional sentence would indicate that by no later than December 31, 2022, the assignment of rights and exclusive use of the TAP recovery wells, including their function, would become irrevocable to the City of Tampa. Council Member Rice asked that Paragraph 4 be held in abeyance and asked the Committee to look at Paragraph 10 for consideration of additional language.

Council Member Rice made a motion to add the following language to Paragraph 10: “Tampa Bay Water may condition its acknowledgement on the need for subsequent acknowledgements requiring the same number of votes as this Agreement. If Tampa Bay Water fails to make this “initial or and subsequent” acknowledgement The motion was seconded by Commissioner Eggers. Chairman Murman opened the change for discussion and asked if Paragraph 10 had been discussed by the attorney group. Don Conn, General Counsel for Tampa Bay Water, acknowledged the proposed language offered by Council Member Rice was discussed by the attorney group and it was decided the language was not consistent with what the Board did at the February meeting. Mr. Conn stated based on the transcript from the February meeting and discussion among the attorney group, it was decided that the proposed language offered by Council Member Rice was not acted upon by the Board at the February meeting. Jan McLean explained the attorney group had to rely on the discussion and direction of the Board at the February meeting. Ms. McLean explained Tampa needs certainty in order to have the ability to move forward with bonding and the Board’s direction at the February meeting was to include the language in the current draft. Council Member Rice expressed concern of an MOU limiting Tampa Bay Water and the need to protect the agency’s interests. Chairman Murman asked Mr. Dunbar what he would suggest to address the issue. Mr. Dunbar stated the attorney group took direction from the Board and incorporated the changes accordingly. Mayor Marlowe stated he did not agree with including the language. Council Member Rice stated she stood by her motion. Mr. Dunbar stated that the attorney group worked hard to reflect the decisions of the Board. In response to questions, Mr. Conn stated the current TAP project before the Board today is not the same TAP project discussed by the Board 2 years ago. The City of Tampa changed the configuration of the original TAP project to make it consistent with the Interlocal Agreement. Commissioner Eggers noted with the exception of the 82 mgd average per day, Tampa Bay Water is the only entity permitted to produce water for the region. Mr. Conn stated Tampa Bay Water attorneys rendered a second legal opinion stating that the current TAP project when done through the Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding is consistent with the ILA and the ILA allows Tampa to use reclaimed water to supplement the 82 mgd. Council Member Rice noted the Board has had

extensive discussions on how the project can be done, but has not discussed whether the project should be done. Mr. Dunbar stated the objective of the attorney group was to come before the Executive Committee to share the draft prepared and the City of Tampa had an issue which they wanted the Committee to consider. Mr. Dunbar offered to gather the attorney group to discuss the change offered. Council Member Rice noted her preference that the Committee consider the language and make their recommendation for the Board's decision. Mr. Dunbar stated the base agreement was for the full Board consideration and asked if the Committee wanted to continue that process. Chairman Murman agreed and asked for the motion and second to be withdrawn. The original motion and second were withdrawn. Council Member Rice made a new motion for the Executive Committee to recommend to the full Board in April to insert the following language after the first sentence in Section 10: "Tampa Bay Water may condition its acknowledgement on the need for subsequent acknowledgements requiring the same number of votes as this Agreement. If Tampa Bay Water fails to make this "initial or and subsequent" acknowledgement this Agreement shall terminate and be of no further force and effect, and neither Tampa Bay Water nor Tampa shall have any further obligations pursuant to this Agreement; provided however, that any payment made by Tampa or Tampa Bay Water in accordance with paragraph 3 shall be refunded to Tampa within thirty (30) days of the termination of this Agreement." Commissioner Eggers seconded the motion.

Vote: The motion carried by vote of 2-1. Chairman Murman voted nay.

Council Member Rice moved that the Executive Committee recommend to the full Board at the April meeting not to accept the changes submitted from City of Tampa's attorney concerning the word "irrevocable" in Paragraph 4. Commissioner Eggers seconded the motion. Ms. McLean noted that all but one of the attorneys of the attorney group supported the change. Council Member Rice suggested having the full Board discuss the issue at the April meeting. Chairman Murman stated the motion was out of order. Council Member Rice asked (as a point of order), if Councilman Miranda made the motion to include the irrevocable language at the February meeting. Mr. Dunbar responded no. When counsel requests language to be included, that language is included unless otherwise directed by the Board. Chairman Murman stated the Board can decide at the April meeting whether to include the language or not. Commissioner Eggers asked Ms. McLean for clarification of the irrevocable request. Ms. McLean explained it pertains to the assignment of rights that Tampa Bay Water would be providing to the City of Tampa for the recovery wells, including the functioning of the wells, City of Tampa would be paying \$7 million to Tampa Bay Water for that assignment and to be consistent with the ILA. The recovery wells may be water supply facilities as defined by the ILA. Therefore, the TAP agreement provides for consideration from Tampa for the assignment for Tampa to be able to use its reclaimed water in the TAP agreement. Tampa Bay Water by Board decision suggested that the assignment of any rights that Tampa Bay Water would have in the recovery wells be assigned to Tampa. Because of the inclusion of the benchmarks, including June 30, 2020 report where the Board could make a determination whether it would continue with the agreement or not, it was pointed out that there might be some confusion at the outset of the agreement as it said the assignment of rights was

irrevocable. With the inclusion of the new clause, Tampa did not want to create confusion, so the attorneys agreed to remove the word irrevocable. Ms. McLean suggested after the Board has its decision in 2020 and after the City of Tampa says at what point in time TAP can be fully functioning, that those rights become irrevocable so Tampa can rely on them in the course of its operation of the project. Commissioner Eggers asked what would happen if Tampa could not meet the June 30, 2020 timeframe. Ms. McLean stated by June 30, 2020, Tampa will bring all project information to the Board for review and vote whether to continue with the agreement or not. Commissioner Eggers stated the Board will need to opt-in and reaffirm again in June 2020. Chairman Murman, Mr. Dunbar and Ms. McLean agreed. Commissioner Eggers asked if SWFWMD funding TAP puts Tampa Bay Water at risk for its project funding, and is that a reason not to opt-in again. Ms. McLean responded no, it's whether the project benefits are regional in nature. Joel Brown, SWFWMD, provided a brief explanation of the District's funding procedures. Council Member Rice reiterated her earlier motion. Chairman Murman stated that the motion was out of order because it does not follow the previous direction the Board and Committee had taken and will be on the list of items for discussion at the April Board meeting. Mr. Dunbar stated there are two freestanding issues to bring to the Board in April. Council Member Rice suggested the following language to be inserted as a new paragraph before Paragraph 10: "When any of Tampa's consultants on the TAP project provide any drafts or final report, including technical memoranda, Tampa's project manager, to any member of the City's staff, or SWFWMD, Tampa shall provide copies of such reports to Tampa Bay Water." Mr. Dunbar offered to caucus member government attorneys to review and discuss any changes requested and to bring back the changes to the Executive Committee for consideration. Chairman Murman stated requested changes would go to the attorney group and then brought to the Board. Mr. Dunbar stated the attorney group would convene at the end of the Executive Committee meeting to address requested changes. Commissioner Eggers agreed with Council Member Rice. Council Member Rice asked the record to reflect that the idea isn't coming from one member government only. Council Member Rice asked if bond counsel had reviewed the latest legal opinion from Tampa Bay Water counsel. Mr. Conn responded no. The specific language bond counsel needed and requested is in the MOU. The legal opinion will be provided to bond counsel. Council Member Rice asked Mr. Herd if the City of Tampa had responded to the agency's technical questions. Mr. Herd responded the agency is still waiting for Tampa to respond. Council Member Rice asked when the questions were submitted. Mr. Herd responded March 6, 2019. Chuck Weber, City of Tampa, responded the questions were received and staff are evaluating the questions and will provide answers soon. Council Member Rice asked Mr. Jordan for any comments on the MOU from staff. Mr. Jordan stated staff reviewed the MOU and prepared a memorandum of questions to be addressed by general counsel. General Counsel provided a copy of staffs' memorandum to the Board. Chairman Murman stated the matter will be discussed by the full Board in April. Mr. Conn stated general counsel received the staffs' final document on March 14 and was sent out to the Committee and full Board as part of the Executive Committee meeting materials. Mr. Conn stated that general counsel recommended followed previous procedures of allowing the attorney group to review the comments and to determine how to address each item. Mr. Conn stated that Tampa Bay Water staff are invited

to participate in the attorney group discussion. Mr. Dunbar stated the attorney group would determine if the questions would be presented in the freestanding manner. Council Member Rice stated if it is substantial policy, the Board needs to see it. Chairman Murman and Mr. Dunbar agreed. Commissioner Eggers referenced the March 14, 2019 general counsel memorandum on the Agreement and MOU with the City of Tampa and asked if the ILA limits the TAP recovery wells to 1 mgd annual average per well. Barrie Buenaventura, General Counsel, responded she does consider the recovery wells from the TAP project to be a water supply facility and that is why the premise of the MOU and agreement is for Tampa Bay Water to assign its interest in the recovery wells to Tampa. Ms. Buenaventura added that in the MOU, there is another exception to exclusivity in the ILA (3.06) for isolated water supply facilities. That would allow member governments in isolated areas for new developments (that Tampa Bay Water is unable to supply in an economical, feasible manner because it is isolated), would allow member governments to have an exception to exclusivity to supply those areas with wells up to 1 mgd each. Should any of the TAP wells exceed 1 mgd, the cap in 3.06 would not apply to the TAP recovery wells because they do not fall within this section and are being developed to serve an isolated development. Tampa's right to use those wells arises out of Tampa's ability to use their reclaimed water as part of their exception under 3.08. Therefore, the capacity of those recovery wells could exceed 1 mgd. Mr. Conn added that the recovery wells are intended to supplement Tampa's existing water system. Chairman Murman asked if Mr. Dunbar agreed. Mr. Dunbar responded yes.

Chairman Murman asked if there were any other comments. Hearing none, the meeting was adjourned.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

The Executive Committee adjourned at 12:23 p.m.

Attest: _____
Matt Jordan, General Manager/Secretary

Date: _____

Executive Committee Agenda Item B



DATE: May 10, 2019
TO: Executive Committee
FROM: Matt Jordan, General Manager *mf*
SUBJECT: General Manager Update

FUNDING SOURCE: Not Applicable

SUMMARY: The General Manager will provide the Board Executive Committee with an update on agency administrative matters.

RECOMMENDATION: Information item only. No action requested.

Executive Committee Agenda Item C



DATE: May 10, 2019
TO: Executive Committee
FROM: Charles H. Carden, Chief Operating Officer *CH Carden*
SUBJECT: South Hillsborough Short-Term Water Delivery Needs

FUNDING SOURCE: To be determined

SUMMARY: At its April meeting, Hillsborough County requested deferral of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for additional supply via the SHARP supply project and/or pipeline to a new Point of Connection at a proposed County Water Campus to meet South County water demands by 2025.

In the Annual Exhibit K Report Water Year 2019 dated March 11, 2019 Hillsborough County has identified a need for additional supply to South County by 2024 (moved forward from the previous 2025 year) based on updated County demand projections.

Tampa Bay Water will focus on interim, smaller projects to deliver additional capacity to existing Points of Connection at the County's Lithia and Central Hillsborough facilities to meet the 2024 water needs. These projects can be implemented by 2024 and do not require a MOU or similar agency agreement. Staff is re-evaluating these three options and will provide an update to the Executive Committee of the Board at its May 20, 2019 meeting.

Staff also anticipates presenting the proposed short-term solutions at the June 2019 Board meeting. Staff will also identify actions associated with a near-term solution (i.e. confirming hydraulic modeling, property availability review/canvassing and acquisition, anticipated regulatory approvals, approval of outsourced engineering and construction contracts, etc.).

RECOMMENDATION: Receive status update and near-term action plan

mj

Executive Committee Agenda Item D



DATE: May 10, 2019
TO: Executive Committee
FROM: Ken Herd, Chief Science and Technical Officer *KRH*
SUBJECT: Water Quality Update

FUNDING SOURCE: Not applicable.

SUMMARY: Staff will preview the water quality update proposed for the June 17, 2019 Board meeting. Introductory topics will include a brief overview of the Water Quality Services Department, water quality compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act and Exhibit D requirements and a summary of on-going research topics with the Water Research Foundation, universities and others.

The main topic for this presentation will be a discussion of the Exhibit D Modifications Study. The request for this specific update was made at the March 18, 2019 Executive Committee Meeting. An overview of program objectives and schedule will be presented along with an explanation of the practical significance of current and future study findings.

RECOMMENDATION: Information item only. No action requested.

mf

Executive Committee Agenda Item E



DATE: May 10, 2019
TO: Executive Committee
FROM: Ken Herd, Chief Science and Technical Officer *KRH*
SUBJECT: Demand Management Plan Implementation Update

FUNDING SOURCE: Not applicable.

SUMMARY: On August 20, 2018, the Tampa Bay Water Board of Directors approved a regional demand management program with funding through Tampa Bay Water and a goal of cost-effectively saving more than 11 mgd by 2030. That approval included applying for a water conservation block grant with the Southwest Florida Water Management District and developing a comprehensive program implementation strategy with Member Government staff input.

Subsequently, Tampa Bay Water staff met eight times with Member Government representatives to develop an implementation strategy consistent with Tampa Bay Water's Interlocal Agreement. Details of the proposed strategy were reported to the Tampa Bay Water Board of Directors as part of the April 2019 Board meeting information packet. At the May 20, 2019 Tampa Bay Water Executive Committee meeting, staff will provide an overview of the implementation program and receive input on objectives for the upcoming June 17, 2019 Tampa Bay Water Board of Directors meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: Information item only. No action requested.

mf

Executive Committee Agenda Item F



DATE: May 10, 2019
TO: Executive Committee
FROM: Christina Sackett, Chief Financial Officer *CS*
SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2020 Proposed Budget/Fiscal Year 2020-2029 Capital Improvement Program

FUNDING SOURCE:

SUMMARY: The Interlocal Agreement requires the Board of Directors to approve a budget by August 1st each year. This presentation will provide the Executive Committee with highlights of Tampa Bay Water's Fiscal Year 2020 proposed budget.

Tampa Bay Water's Capital Improvements Program (CIP) is a comprehensive ten-year plan and portfolio of previously approved and newly proposed capital projects. The CIP is updated annually to include newly requested capital projects and to update the scope, capital costs, and timing for previously identified projects. This presentation will provide the Executive Committee with highlights of the Capital Improvements Program Plan for FY 2020-2029.

RECOMMENDATION: Discussion Item. No action requested.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely belonging to a member of the Executive Committee.



DATE: May 10, 2019
TO: Executive Committee
FROM: Barrie S. Buenaventura, General Counsel *BSB*
SUBJECT: Cooperative Funding for the Tampa Augmentation Project

FUNDING SOURCE: Not applicable.

SUMMARY: HB 3335 by Representative Toledo requested a \$1.6 million appropriation for the Tampa Augmentation Project (TAP) but this was not included in the final general appropriation bill. Therefore, in accordance with Board action at the April Board meeting, Tampa Bay Water will provide up to \$1.6 million in cooperative funding to the City of Tampa for TAP. The General Counsel has prepared a draft funding agreement between Tampa Bay Water and the City of Tampa for consideration at the June Board meeting. An update on the status of the draft agreement will be provided to the Executive Committee.

RECOMMENDATION: Information item only. No action requested.

mf